arXiv:1207.6077v1 [math.AP] 25 Jul 2012

STRONG CONVERGENCE TO THE HOMOGENIZED LIMIT OF ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH RANDOM COEFFICIENTS II

JOSEPH G. CONLON AND ARASH FAHIM

Abstract. Consider a discrete uniformly elliptic divergence form equation on the d dimensional lattice $\mathbf{Z}^d$ with random coefficients. In [3] rate of convergence results in homogenization and estimates on the difference between the averaged Green's function and the homogenized Green's function for random environments which satisfy a Poincaré inequality were obtained. Here these results are extended to certain environments with long range correlations. These environments are simply related via a convolution to environments which do satisfy a Poincaré inequality.

1. Introduction.

In this paper we continue the study of solutions to divergence form elliptic equations with random coefficients begun in [3]. In [3] we were concerned with solutions $u(x,\eta,\omega)$ to the equation

$$\eta u(x,\eta,\omega)+\nabla^*\mathbf{a}(\tau_x\omega)\nabla u(x,\eta,\omega)=h(x),\quad x\in\mathbf{Z}^d,\ \omega\in\Omega, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathbf{Z}^d$ is the d dimensional integer lattice and $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$ is a probability space equipped with measure preserving translation operators $\tau_x:\Omega\rightarrow\Omega,\ x\in\mathbf{Z}^d$. The function $\mathbf{a}:\Omega\rightarrow\mathbf{R}^{d(d+1)/2}$ from Ω to the space of symmetric $d\times d$ matrices satisfies the quadratic form inequality

$$\lambda I_d\le\mathbf{a}(\omega)\le\Lambda I_d,\qquad \omega\in\Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

where I_d is the identity matrix in d dimensions and Λ,λ are positive constants.

It is well known [7, 10, 13] that if the translation operators $\tau_x,\ x\in\mathbf{Z}^d$, are ergodic on Ω then solutions to the random equation (1.1) converge to solutions of a constant coefficient equation under suitable scaling. Thus suppose $f:\mathbf{R}^d\rightarrow\mathbf{R}$ is a C^∞ function with compact support and for ε satisfying $0<\varepsilon\le 1$ let $u_\varepsilon(x,\eta,\omega)$ be the solution to (1.1) with $h(x)=\varepsilon^2 f(\varepsilon x),\ x\in\mathbf{Z}^d$. Then $u_\varepsilon(x/\varepsilon,\varepsilon^2\eta,\omega)$ converges with probability 1 as $\varepsilon\rightarrow 0$ to a function $u_{\rm hom}(x,\eta),\ x\in\mathbf{R}^d$, which is the solution to the constant coefficient elliptic PDE

$$\eta u_{\rm hom}(x,\eta)-\nabla\mathbf{a}_{\rm hom}\nabla u_{\rm hom}(x,\eta)=f(x),\quad x\in\mathbf{R}^d, \tag{1.3}$$

where the $d\times d$ symmetric matrix $\mathbf{a}_{\rm hom}$ satisfies the quadratic form inequality (1.2). This homogenization result can be viewed as a kind of central limit theorem, and our goal in [3] was to show that the result can be strengthened for certain probability spaces $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$. In particular, we extended a result of Yurinskii [12] which gives a rate of convergence in homogenization:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81T08, 82B20, 35R60, 60J75.

Key words and phrases. Euclidean field theory, pde with random coefficients, homogenization.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $f:\mathbf{R}^d\rightarrow\mathbf{R}$ *be a* C^∞ *function of compact support,* $u_\varepsilon(x,\eta,\omega)$ *the corresponding solution to (1.1) with* $h(x)=\varepsilon^2 f(\varepsilon x),\ x\in\mathbf{Z}^d$, *and* $u_{\rm hom}(x,\eta),\ x\in\mathbf{R}^d$, *the solution to (1.3). Then for certain strong mixing environments* $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$*- see discussion below- there is a constant* $\alpha>0$ *depending only on* $d,\Lambda/\lambda$ *and a constant* C *independent of* ε *such that*

$$\sup_{x\in\varepsilon\mathbf{Z}^d}\langle\ |u_\varepsilon(x/\varepsilon,\varepsilon^2\eta,\cdot)-u_{\rm hom}(x,\eta)|^2\ \rangle\ \le C\varepsilon^\alpha,\quad \text{for } 0<\varepsilon\le 1. \tag{1.4}$$

It is evident that any environment Ω can be considered to be a set of fields $\omega:\mathbf{Z}^d\rightarrow\mathbf{R}^n$ with $n\le d(d+1)/2$, where the translation operators $\tau_x,\ x\in\mathbf{Z}^d$, act as $\tau_x\omega(z)=\omega(x+z),\ z\in\mathbf{Z}^d$, and $\mathbf{a}(\omega)=\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\omega(0))$ for some function $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}:\mathbf{R}^n\rightarrow\mathbf{R}^{d(d+1)/2}$. Yurinskii's assumption on $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$ is a quantitative strong mixing condition. Thus let $\chi(\cdot)$ be a positive decreasing function on $\mathbf{R}^+$ such that $\lim_{q\rightarrow\infty}\chi(q)=0$. The quantitative strong mixing condition is given in terms of the function $\chi(\cdot)$ as follows: For any subsets A,B of $\mathbf{Z}^d$ and events $\Gamma_A,\ \Gamma_B\subset\Omega$, which depend respectively only on variables $\omega(x),\ x\in A$, and $\omega(y),\ y\in B$, then

$$|P(\Gamma_A\cap\Gamma_B)-P(\Gamma_A)P(\Gamma_B)|\ \le\chi(\inf_{x\in A,y\in B}|x-y|\ )\ . \tag{1.5}$$

In the proof of (1.4) he requires the function $\chi(\cdot)$ to have power law decay i.e. $\lim_{q\rightarrow\infty}q^\alpha\chi(q)=0$ for some $\alpha>0$. Evidently (1.5) trivially holds if the $\omega(x),\ x\in\mathbf{Z}^d$, are independent variables. Recently Caffarelli and Souganidis [2] have obtained rates of convergence results in homogenization of fully nonlinear PDE under the quantitative strong mixing condition (1.5). In their case the function $\chi(q)$ is assumed to decay logarithmically in q to 0, and correspondingly the rate of convergence in homogenization that is obtained is also logarithmic in ε. In their methodology a stronger assumption on the function $\chi(\cdot)$, for example power law decay, does not yield a stronger rate of convergence in homogenization.

In [9] Naddaf and Spencer obtained rate of convergence results for homogenization under a different quantitative strong mixing assumption than (1.5). Their assumption is that a Poincaré inequality holds for the random environment. Specifically, consider the measure space $(\tilde\Omega,\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ of vector fields $\tilde\omega:\mathbf{Z}^d\rightarrow\mathbf{R}^k$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is the minimal Borel algebra such that each $\tilde\omega(x):\tilde\Omega\rightarrow\mathbf{R}^k$ is Borel measurable, $x\in\mathbf{Z}^d$. A probability measure $\tilde P$ on $(\tilde\Omega,\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ satisfies a Poincaré inequality if there is a constant $m>0$ such that

$$\mathrm{Var}[G(\cdot)]\ \le\ \frac{1}{m^2}\langle\ \|d_{\tilde\omega}G(\cdot)\|^2\ \rangle\quad \text{for all } C^1 \text{ functions } G:\tilde\Omega\rightarrow\mathbf{C}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $d_{\tilde\omega}G(y,\tilde\omega)=\partial G(\tilde\omega)/\partial\tilde\omega(y),\ y\in\mathbf{Z}^d$, is the gradient of $G(\cdot)$. In [9] it is assumed that $\tilde P$ is translation invariant i.e. the translation operators $\tau_x,\ x\in\mathbf{Z}^d$, acting by $\tau_x\tilde\omega(z)=\tilde\omega(x+z),\ z\in\mathbf{Z}^d$, are measure preserving, and that the Poincaré inequality (1.6) holds. Rate of convergence results are then obtained provided $\mathbf{a}(\omega)=\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\tilde\omega(0))$ in (1.1), where the function $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}:\mathbf{R}^k\rightarrow\mathbf{R}^{d(d+1)/2}$ is C^1 and has bounded derivative, in addition to satisfying (1.2). In recent work Gloria and Otto [5, 6] have developed much further the methodology of Naddaf and Spencer, obtaining optimal rates of convergence in homogenization under the assumption that the environment satisfies a *weak* Poincaré inequality. This weak Poincaré inequality holds for an environment in which the variables $\mathbf{a}(\tau_x\omega),\ x\in\mathbf{Z}^d$, are independent, whereas the inequality (1.6) in general does not.

In this paper we shall obtain rate of convergence and related results for homogenization in environments defined by $\mathbf{a}(\omega) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\omega(0))$ where $\omega : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}^n$ is a convolution $\omega(\cdot) = h * \tilde{\omega}(\cdot),\ \tilde{\omega} \in \tilde{\Omega}$. The function $h : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}^n \otimes \mathbf{R}^k$ from $\mathbf{Z}^d$ to $n \times k$ matrices is assumed to be q integrable for some $q < 2$, and the probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ to satisfy the Poincaré inequality (1.6). Unlike environments which satisfy a Poincaré inequality, these environments $\omega(\cdot)$ can easily be shown to have long range correlations. In particular, consider the massive field theory environment studied in [3] consisting of fields $\phi : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ with measure $\tilde{P}$ formally given by

$$\exp\left[-\sum_{x\in\mathbf{Z}^d} V\left(\nabla\phi(x)\right) + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi(x)^2\right] \prod_{x\in\mathbf{Z}^d} d\phi(x)/\text{normalization}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $V : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ is a uniformly convex function. Then $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ with measure (1.7) satisfies the inequality (1.6). In the Gaussian case when $V(\cdot)$ is quadratic one has that the correlation function $\langle\ \phi(x)\phi(0)\ \rangle = G_{m^2}(x),\ x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, where the Green's function $G_\eta(\cdot)$ is the solution to

$$\eta G_\eta(x) + \nabla^* V'' \nabla G_\eta(x) \ = \ \delta(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d. \tag{1.8}$$

Hence the correlation function $\langle\ \phi(x)\phi(0)\ \rangle$ decays exponentially in $|x|$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Taking $\omega(\cdot) = h * \phi(\cdot)$ for some $h \in L^q(\mathbf{Z}^d)$ we have that

$$\langle\ \omega(x)\omega(0)\ \rangle \ = \ \sum_{y,y'\in\mathbf{Z}^d} h(x-y)h(-y')G_{m^2}(y-y')\ , \tag{1.9}$$

and so if $1 \le q \le 2$ then $\langle\omega(0)^2\rangle < \infty$. Choosing now $h(z) = 1/[1+|z|^{d/2+\varepsilon}],\ z \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we see from (1.9) that $\langle\ \omega(x)\omega(0)\ \rangle \simeq |x|^{-2\varepsilon}$ as $|x| \to \infty$.

The limit as $m \to 0$ of the measure (1.7) is a probability measure $\tilde{P}$ on gradient fields $\tilde{\omega} : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}^d$, where formally $\tilde{\omega}(x) = \nabla\phi(x),\ x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, a result first shown by Funaki and Spohn [4]. This massless field theory measure satisfies a Poincaré inequality (1.6) for all $d \ge 1$. In the case $d = 1$ the measure has a simple structure since then the variables $\tilde{\omega}(x),\ x \in \mathbf{Z}$, are i.i.d. For $d \ge 3$ the gradient field theory measure induces a measure on fields $\phi : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ which is simply the limit of the measures (1.7) as $m \to 0$. For $d = 1, 2$ the $m \to 0$ limit of the measures (1.7) on fields $\phi : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ does not exist. If $d \ge 3$ then $\langle\ \phi(x)\phi(0)\ \rangle \simeq |x|^{-(d-2)}$ as $|x| \to \infty$ for the massless field theory. Observe now that

$$\phi(x) \ = \ \sum_{y\in\mathbf{Z}^d} [\nabla G_0(x-y)]^T \nabla\phi(y) \ = \ h * \tilde{\omega}(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d, \tag{1.10}$$

where $G_0(\cdot)$ is the Green's function for (1.8) with $\eta = 0, V'' = I_d$. Since $h : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}^d$ in (1.10) is q integrable for any $q > d/(d-1)$, the environment of massless fields $\phi : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ with $d \ge 3$ satisfies the condition $q < 2$ of the previous paragraph.

Rather than attempt to formulate a general theorem for environments $\omega = h * \tilde{\omega}$ where $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ satisfies the Poincaré inequality (1.6), we shall only rigorously prove that the results obtained in [3] hold for massless fields $\phi : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ with $d \ge 3$. In §2 we indicate the generality of our argument by showing that the proof of Proposition 5.3 of [3] formally extends to environments $\omega = h * \tilde{\omega}$. In §3 we implement the method of §2 to prove the following theorem for massless fields:

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}^{d(d+1)/2}$ *be a* C^1 *function on* $\mathbf{R}$ *with values in the space of symmetric* $d \times d$ *matrices, which satisfies the quadratic form inequality (1.2) and has bounded first derivative* $D\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\cdot)$ *so* $\|D\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\cdot)\|_\infty < \infty$. *For* $d \ge 3$ *let* $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ *be the probability space of massless fields* $\phi(\cdot)$ *determined by the limit of the uniformly convex measures (1.7) as* $m \to 0$, *and set* $\mathbf{a}(\cdot)$ *in (1.1) to be* $\mathbf{a}(\phi) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\phi(0))$, $\phi \in \Omega$. *Then Theorem 1.1 holds for the probability space* $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. *Let* $G_{\mathbf{a},\eta}(x)$, $x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, *be the averaged Green's function for the random equation (1.1) and* $G_{\mathbf{a}_{\rm hom},\eta}(x)$, $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$, *the Green's function for the homogenized equation (1.3). Then there are constants* $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ *depending only on* d *and the ratio* Λ/λ *of the constants* λ, Λ *of (1.2), and a constant* C *depending only on* $\|D\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\cdot)\|_\infty, \Lambda/\lambda, d$ *such that*

$$|G_{\mathbf{a},\eta}(x) - G_{\mathbf{a}_{\rm hom},\eta}(x)| \le \frac{C}{\Lambda(|x|+1)^{d-2+\alpha}} e^{-\gamma\sqrt{\eta/\Lambda}|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d - \{0\}, \tag{1.11}$$

$$|\nabla G_{\mathbf{a},\eta}(x) - \nabla G_{\mathbf{a}_{\rm hom},\eta}(x)| \le \frac{C}{\Lambda(|x|+1)^{d-1+\alpha}} e^{-\gamma\sqrt{\eta/\Lambda}|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d - \{0\}, \tag{1.12}$$

$$|\nabla\nabla G_{\mathbf{a},\eta}(x) - \nabla\nabla G_{\mathbf{a}_{\rm hom},\eta}(x)| \le \frac{C}{\Lambda(|x|+1)^{d+\alpha}} e^{-\gamma\sqrt{\eta/\Lambda}|x|} \quad x \in \mathbf{Z}^d - \{0\}, \tag{1.13}$$

provided $0 < \eta \le \Lambda$.

2. Variance Estimate on the Solution to a PDE on Ω

Following §5 of [3] we consider the solution $\Phi(\xi, \eta, \omega)$ to the equation

$$\eta\Phi(\xi,\eta,\omega) + \partial_\xi^* \mathbf{a}(\omega)\partial_\xi \Phi(\xi,\eta,\omega) = -\partial_\xi^* \mathbf{a}(\omega), \quad \eta > 0, \ \xi \in \mathbf{R}^d, \ \omega \in \Omega, \tag{2.1}$$

and let P denote the projection orthogonal to the constant function. Then our generalization of Proposition 5.3 of [3] is as follows:

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose* $\mathbf{a}(\cdot)$ *in (2.1) is given by* $\mathbf{a}(\omega) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\omega(0))$ *where* $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^{d(d+1)/2}$ *is a* C^1 $d \times d$ *symmetric matrix valued function satisfying the quadratic form inequality (1.2) and* $\|D\tilde{a}(\cdot)\|_\infty < \infty$. *The random field* $\omega : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}^n$ *is a convolution* $\omega(\cdot) = h * \tilde{\omega}(\cdot)$ *of an* $n \times k$ *matrix valued function* $h : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}^n \otimes \mathbf{R}^k$ *and a random field* $\tilde{\omega} : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}^k$. *The function* h *is assumed to be* p_0 *summable for some* p_0 *with* $1 \le p_0 < 2$ *and the probability space* $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$ *of the fields* $\tilde{\omega} : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}^k$ *to satisfy the Poincaré inequality (1.6). Then there exists* p_1 *depending only on* $d, \Lambda/\lambda, p_0$ *and satisfying* $1 < p_1 < 2$, *such that for* $g \in L^p(\mathbf{Z}^d, \mathbf{C}^d \otimes \mathbf{C}^d)$ *with* $1 \le p \le p_1$ *and* $v \in \mathbf{C}^d$,

$$\|P \sum_{x \in \mathbf{Z}^d} g(x)\partial_\xi \Phi(\xi,\eta,\tau_x\cdot)v\| \ \le \ \frac{C\|D\tilde{a}(\cdot)\|_\infty |v|}{m\Lambda} \|h\|_{p_0} \|g\|_p \ , \tag{2.2}$$

where C *depends only on* $d, n, k, \Lambda/\lambda, p_0$.

Proof. From (1.6) we have that

$$\|P \sum_{x \in \mathbf{Z}^d} g(x)\partial_\xi \Phi(\xi,\eta,\tau_x\cdot)v\|^2 \ \le \ \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \| \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\omega}(z)} \sum_{x \in \mathbf{Z}^d} g(x)\partial_\xi \Phi(\xi,\eta,\tau_x\cdot)v\|^2 \ . \tag{2.3}$$

From the chain rule we see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\omega}(z)}\partial_\xi\Phi(\xi,\eta,\tau_x\cdot)v \ = \ \sum_{y\in\mathbf{Z}^d}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega(y)}\partial_\xi\Phi(\xi,\eta,\tau_x\cdot)v\right]h(y-z) \ . \tag{2.4}$$

Hence using the translation invariance of the probability measure $\tilde{P}$ on $\tilde{\Omega}$ we conclude from (2.3), (2.4) that

$$\|P\sum_{x\in\mathbf{Z}^d}g(x)\partial_\xi\Phi(\xi,\eta,\tau_x\cdot)v\|^2 \ \le \ \frac{1}{m^2}\sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d}\left\|\sum_{x\in\mathbf{Z}^d}g(x)\sum_{y\in\mathbf{Z}^d}\left[\tau_{-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega(y)}\partial_\xi\Phi(\xi,\eta,\tau_x\cdot)v\right]h(y-z)\right\|^2 \ . \tag{2.5}$$

We define a function $u:\mathbf{Z}^d\times\Omega\rightarrow\mathbf{C}^k$ by

$$u(z,\omega) \ = \ e^{-iz\cdot\xi}\sum_{y\in\mathbf{Z}^d}\left[d_\omega\Phi(y,\xi,\eta,\tau_z\omega)v\right]h(y+z) \ , \tag{2.6}$$

where $d_\omega\Phi(\cdot,\xi,\eta,\omega)v \ : \ \mathbf{Z}^d \ \rightarrow \ \mathbf{C}^n$ is the gradient of $\Phi(\xi,\eta,\omega)v$ with respect to $\omega\in\Omega$. Observe now that

$$\nabla u(x-z,\omega) \ = \ e^{i(z-x).\xi}\sum_{y\in\mathbf{Z}^d}\left[\tau_{-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega(y)}\partial_\xi\Phi(\xi,\eta,\tau_x\omega)v\right]h(y-z) \ , \tag{2.7}$$

whence (2.5) becomes

$$\|P\sum_{x\in\mathbf{Z}^d}g(x)\partial_\xi\Phi(\xi,\eta,\tau_x\cdot)v\|^2 \ \le \ \frac{1}{m^2}\sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d}\| \sum_{x\in\mathbf{Z}^d}g(x)e^{i(x-z)\cdot\xi}\nabla u(x-z,\cdot) \ \|^2 \ . \tag{2.8}$$

Next we take the gradient of equation (2.1) with respect to $\omega(\cdot)$. Using the notation of [3] we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\eta \ d_\omega\Phi(y,\xi,\eta,\omega)v + D_\xi^*\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\omega(0))D_\xi \ d_\omega\Phi(y,\xi,\eta,\omega)v& \\ = \ -D_\xi^*[\ \delta(y)D\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\omega(0))\{v+\partial_\xi\Phi(\xi,\eta,\omega)v\}] \quad &\text{for } y\in\mathbf{Z}^d,\omega\in\Omega.\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Evidently (2.9) holds with $\omega\in\Omega$ replaced by $\tau_z\omega$ for any $z\in\mathbf{Z}^d$. We now multiply (2.9) with $\tau_z\omega$ in place of ω on the right by $e^{-iz\cdot\xi}h(y+z)$ and sum with respect to $y\in\mathbf{Z}^d$. It then follows from (2.6) that

$$\eta \ u(z,\omega)+\nabla^*\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\omega(z))\nabla u(z,\omega) \ = \ -\nabla^* f(z,\omega) \ , \tag{2.10}$$

where the function $f:\mathbf{Z}^d\times\Omega\rightarrow\mathbf{C}^d\otimes\mathbf{C}^k$ is given by the formula

$$f(z,\omega) \ = \ D\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\omega(z))\{v+\partial_\xi\Phi(\xi,\eta,\tau_z\omega)v\}e^{-iz\cdot\xi}h(z) \ . \tag{2.11}$$

Now $\partial_\xi\Phi(\xi,\eta,\cdot)v\in L^2(\Omega,\mathbf{C}^d)$ and $\|\partial_\xi\Phi(\xi,\eta,\cdot)v\|_2\le\Lambda|v|/\lambda$. Hence if $h\in L^2(\mathbf{Z}^d,\mathbf{R}^n\otimes\mathbf{R}^k)$ then the function f is in $L^2(\mathbf{Z}^d\times\Omega,\mathbf{C}^d\otimes\mathbf{C}^k)$ and $\|f\|_2\le\|D\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\cdot)\|_\infty(1+\Lambda/\lambda)|v|\|h\|_2$. We see from (2.10) that if $f\in L^2(\mathbf{Z}^d\times\Omega,\mathbf{C}^d\otimes\mathbf{C}^k)$ then ∇u is in $L^2(\mathbf{Z}^d\times\Omega,\mathbf{C}^d\otimes\mathbf{C}^k)$ and $\|\nabla u\|_2\le\|f\|_2/\lambda$. It follows then from (2.8) and Young's inequality that (2.2) holds with $p_0=2$ and $p=1$.

To prove the inequality for some $p>1$ we use Meyer's theorem [8]. Thus for any $1<q<\infty$ we consider the function f as a mapping $f:\mathbf{Z}^d\rightarrow L^2(\Omega,\mathbf{C}^d\otimes\mathbf{C}^k)$ with norm defined by

$$\|f\|_q^q \ = \ \sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d}\|f(z,\cdot)\|_2^q \ , \tag{2.12}$$

where $\|f(z,\cdot)\|_2$ is the norm of $f(z,\cdot) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbf{C}^d \otimes \mathbf{C}^k)$. Noting that the Calderon-Zygmund theorem applies to functions with range in a Hilbert space [11], we conclude that there exists q_0 depending only on $d, \Lambda/\lambda$ with $1 < q_0 < 2$ such that if $\|f\|_{q_0} < \infty$ then $\|\nabla u\|_q \le 2\|f\|_q/\lambda$ for $q_0 \le q \le 2$. If h is p_0 integrable with $p_0 < 2$ we can take $\max[p_0, q_0] = q_1 \le q \le 2$. It follows again from (2.8) and Young's inequality that (2.2) holds with $p_1 = 2q_1/(3q_1 - 2)$. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

It will be sufficient for us to establish the conclusion of Lemma 5.1 of [3] for the massless field theory environment $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ of Theorem 1.2. Using the notation of [3] we have the following:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be an environment of massless fields $\phi : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ with $d \ge 3$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}^{d(d+1)/2}$ be as in the statement of Theorem 1.2. Set $\mathbf{a}(\phi) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\phi(0))$, $\phi \in \Omega$. Then there exists $p_0(\Lambda/\lambda)$ with $1 < p_0(\Lambda/\lambda) < 2$ depending only on d and Λ/λ, and a constant C depending only on d such that*

$$\|T_{r,\eta}\|_{p,\infty} \le \frac{Cr\|D\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\cdot)\|_\infty}{\lambda\Lambda}(1-\lambda/\Lambda)^{r/2} \quad \text{for } 1 \le p \le p_0(\Lambda/\lambda) . \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. It will be sufficient for us to bound $\|T_{r,\eta}g\|_\infty$ in terms of $\|g\|_p$ for $g : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{C}^d \otimes \mathbf{C}^d$ of finite support. Let Q be a cube in $\mathbf{Z}^d$ containing the support of the function $g(\cdot)$ and $(\Omega_Q, \mathcal{F}_Q, P_{Q,m})$ be the probability space of periodic functions $\phi : Q \to \mathbf{R}$ with measure

$$\exp\left[-\sum_{x\in Q} V\left(\nabla\phi(x)\right) + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi(x)^2\right] \prod_{x\in Q} d\phi(x)/\text{normalization}, \tag{3.2}$$

where we assume $m > 0$ and $V : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ is C^2 with $\mathbf{a}(\cdot) = V''(\cdot)$ satisfying the quadratic form inequality (1.2). We denote by $\tilde{\Omega}_Q$ the space of periodic fields $\tilde{\omega} : Q \to \mathbf{R}^d$ and let $F : \tilde{\Omega}_Q \times \Omega_Q \to \mathbf{C}$ be a C^1 function which for some constants A, B satisfies the inequality

$$|F(\tilde{\omega},\phi)| + |d_{\tilde{\omega}}F(y,\tilde{\omega},\phi)| + |d_\phi F(y,\tilde{\omega},\phi)| \le A\exp[B\{\|\tilde{\omega}\|_2 + \|\phi\|_2\}] , \qquad y \in Q,\ \tilde{\omega} \in \tilde{\Omega}_Q,\ \phi \in \Omega_Q . \tag{3.3}$$

Letting $\langle\cdot\rangle_{Q,m}$ denote expectation with respect to the measure (3.2) we see from the Brascamp-Lieb inequality [1] that the Poincaré inequality

$$\mathrm{Var}_{Q,m}[F(\nabla\phi,\phi)] \le \frac{2}{\lambda}\langle \|d_{\tilde{\omega}}F(\nabla\phi,\phi)\|^2 \rangle_{Q,m} + \frac{2}{m^2}\langle \|d_\phi F(\nabla\phi,\phi)\|^2 \rangle_{Q,m} \tag{3.4}$$

holds. We shall show using (3.4) that $\|T_{r,\eta}g\|_\infty$ is bounded in terms of $\|g\|_p$ if the environment is the probability space $(\Omega_Q, \mathcal{F}_Q, P_{Q,m})$. The result will then follow by taking first $Q \to \mathbf{Z}^d$ and then $m \to 0$.

Let us suppose that the cube Q is centered at the origin in $\mathbf{Z}^d$ with side of length L, where L is an even integer. Let $G_\eta : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ be the solution to (1.8) with $V''(\cdot) = I_d$ and $G_{\eta,Q} : Q \to \mathbf{R}$ the corresponding Green's function for the periodic lattice Q, so

$$G_{\eta,Q}(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}^d} G_\eta(x + Ln) , \quad x \in Q. \tag{3.5}$$

Then any periodic function $\phi : Q \to \mathbf{R}$ can be written as

$$\phi(x) \ = \ \sum_{y\in Q}[\nabla G_{\eta,Q}(x-y)]^T\nabla\phi(y) + \sum_{y\in Q}\eta G_{\eta,Q}(x-y)\phi(y) \ , \quad x \in Q. \tag{3.6}$$

Taking $\eta = 1/L^2$ in (3.6) we have a representation

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\cdot) \ &= \ h_Q^T * \tilde{\omega}(\cdot) + k_Q * \phi(\cdot), \quad \text{where } \|h_Q\|_q \le \ C_q \text{ for } q > d/(d-1), \\ &\text{and } \|k_Q\|_q \ \le \ C_q/\min[L^{d(1-1/q)}, L^2] \quad \text{for } q \ge 1 \text{ and } q \ne d/(d-2)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

In (3.7) the vector $h_Q = [h_{Q,1}, ..., h_{Q,d}]$ is a column vector, the operation $*$ denotes convolution on the periodic lattice Q, and C_q is a constant depending only on q, d.

We first prove (3.1) when $r = 1$. For the environment $(\Omega_Q, \mathcal{F}_Q, P_{Q,m})$ we have from (3.7) that

$$T_{1,\eta}g(\xi,\phi) \ = \ \sum_{x\in Q} g(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi}P\tilde{\mathbf{b}}\big(h_Q^T * \tilde{\omega}(x) + k_Q * \phi(x)\big)\ . \tag{3.8}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_m(Q)$ be the Hilbert space of functions $f : \Omega_Q \to \mathbf{C}^d$ which are square integrable with respect to the measure $P_{Q,m}$. It follows from (3.4) that if $v \in \mathbf{C}^d$ the norm of $T_{1,\eta}g(\xi,\cdot)v \in \mathcal{H}_m(Q)$ is bounded as

(3.9)

$$\|T_{1,\eta}g(\xi,\cdot)v\|^2 \ \le \ \frac{2}{\lambda}\sum_{z\in Q}\sum_{j=1}^d \|\sum_{x\in Q} g(x)h_{Q,j}(x-z)D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(x))v\|^2 + \frac{2}{m^2}\sum_{z\in Q}\|\sum_{x\in Q} g(x)k_Q(x-z)D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(x))v\|^2\ .$$

Since $d \ge 3$ we can choose q such that $d/(d-1) < q < 2$ and $q \ne d/(d-2)$. It then follows from (3.7), (3.9) that for $p = 2q/(3q-2) > 1$

$$\|T_{1,\eta}g(\xi,\cdot)v\|^2 \ \le \ C_q\|g\|_p^2\|D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\cdot)\|_\infty^2|v|^2\left[\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{m^2L^{a(q)}}\right]\ , \tag{3.10}$$

where $a(q) = 2\min[d(1-1/q), 2]$. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_m)$ be the probability space of fields $\phi : \mathbf{Z}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ with measure P_m given by (1.7). Proposition 5.1 of [3] enables us to take the limit of (3.10) as $Q \to \mathbf{Z}^d$ to obtain the inequality

$$\|T_{1,\eta}g(\xi,\cdot)v\|^2 \ \le \ C_q\|g\|_p^2\|D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\cdot)\|_\infty^2|v|^2/\lambda \tag{3.11}$$

for the environment $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_m)$. Finally Proposition 6.1 of [3] enables us to take the limit of (3.11) as $m \to 0$ provided $d \ge 3$. We have proved (3.1) when $r = 1$.

To prove the result for $r > 1$ we consider the environment $(\Omega_Q, \mathcal{F}_Q, P_{Q,m})$ and write as in [3]

$$T_{r,\eta}g(\xi,\phi(\cdot))v \ = \ P\sum_{x\in Q} g(x)e^{-ix.\xi}\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(x))\partial_\xi F_r(\tau_x\phi(\cdot))\ , \quad \phi(\cdot) \in \Omega_Q, \tag{3.12}$$

where the functions $F_r(\phi(\cdot))$ are defined inductively by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\eta}{\Lambda}F_r(\phi(\cdot)) + \partial_\xi^*\partial_\xi F_r(\phi(\cdot)) \ &= \ P\partial_\xi^*[\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(0))\partial_\xi F_{r-1}(\phi(\cdot))], \ r > 2, \\ \frac{\eta}{\Lambda}F_2(\phi(\cdot)) + \partial_\xi^*\partial_\xi F_2(\phi(\cdot)) \ &= \ P\partial_\xi^*[\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(0))v]\ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

It is easy to see that $\partial_\xi F_r \in \mathcal{H}_m(Q)$ and

$$\|\partial_\xi F_r\| \ \le \ (1-\lambda/\Lambda)^{r-1}|v| \quad \text{for } r \ge 2. \tag{3.14}$$

Using the representation (3.7) for $\phi(\cdot)$ we can consider the $F_r,\ r \ge 2$, defined by (3.13) as functions of $\tilde{\omega}(\cdot)$ and $\phi(\cdot)$, which we denote by $F_r(\tilde{\omega},\phi)$. Observe now that for $1 \le j \le d$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\omega}_j(z)}\sum_{x\in Q} g(x)e^{-ix.\xi}\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(h_Q^T*\tilde{\omega}(x)+k_Q*\phi(x))\partial_\xi F_r(\tau_x\tilde{\omega},\tau_x\phi) \ = \tag{3.15}$$
$$\sum_{x\in Q} g(x)e^{-ix.\xi}h_{Q,j}(x-z)D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(x))\partial_\xi F_r(\tau_x\phi(\cdot))+\sum_{x\in Q} g(x)e^{-ix.\xi}\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(x))\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\omega}_j(z)}\partial_\xi F_r(\tau_x\tilde{\omega},\tau_x\phi) \ .$$

Let $u_{r,j}: Q\times\Omega_Q \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be given by the formula

$$u_{r,j}(z,\phi(\cdot)) \ = \ e^{-iz\cdot\xi}\sum_{y\in Q} d_\phi F_r(y,\tau_z\phi(\cdot))h_{Q,j}(y+z) \ . \tag{3.16}$$

Then as in (2.4), (2.7) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla u_{r,j}(x-z,\phi(\cdot)) \ &= \ e^{i(z-x)\cdot\xi}\sum_{y\in Q}\tau_{-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi(y)}\partial_\xi F_r(\tau_x\phi(\cdot))h_{Q,j}(y-z) \\ &= \ e^{i(z-x)\cdot\xi}\tau_{-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\omega}_j(z)}\partial_\xi F_r(\tau_x\tilde{\omega},\tau_x\phi) \ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Similarly to (2.10), (2.11) we see that $u_{r,j}(z,\phi(\cdot))$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\eta}{\Lambda}\ u_{r,j}(z,\phi(\cdot))+\nabla^*\nabla u_{r,j}(z,\phi(\cdot)) \ = \ P\nabla^* f_{r,j}(z,\phi(\cdot)) \ , \tag{3.18}$$

where the function $f_{r,j}: Q\times\Omega_Q\rightarrow\mathbf{C}^d$ is given by the formula

$$f_{2,j}(z,\phi) \ = \ D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(z))ve^{-iz\cdot\xi}h_{Q,j}(z) \ , \tag{3.19}$$
$$f_{r,j}(z,\phi) \ = \ D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(z))\partial_\xi F_{r-1}(\tau_z\phi(\cdot))e^{-iz\cdot\xi}h_{Q,j}(z)+\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(z))\nabla u_{r-1,j}(z,\phi(\cdot)), \ r>2.$$

From (3.15), (3.17) we see that

$$\frac{1}{2}\Big\|\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\omega}_j(z)}\sum_{x\in Q} g(x)e^{-ix.\xi}\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(h_Q^T*\tilde{\omega}(x)+k_Q*\phi(x))\partial_\xi F_r(\tau_x\tilde{\omega},\tau_x\phi)\Big\|^2 \ \le \tag{3.20}$$
$$\Big\|\sum_{x\in Q} g(x)e^{-ix.\xi}h_{Q,j}(x-z)D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(x-z))\partial_\xi F_r(\tau_{x-z}\phi(\cdot))\Big\|^2+\Big\|\sum_{x\in Q} g(x)e^{-iz\cdot\xi}\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(x-z))\nabla u_{r,j}(x-z,\phi(\cdot))\Big\|^2 \ .$$

Observe now from (3.14) and Young's inequality for functions with values in a Hilbert space that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{z\in Q}\Big\|\sum_{x\in Q} g(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi}h_{Q,j}(x-z)D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(x-z))\partial_\xi F_r(\tau_{x-z}\phi(\cdot))\Big\|^2 \\ \le \ C\left[\|D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\cdot)\|_\infty\|g\|_p\|h_{Q,j}\|_q(1-\lambda/\Lambda)^{r-1}|v|\right]^2 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where $p = 2q/(3q-2)$ with $1\le q\le 2$ and C depends only on d. We can bound the second term on the RHS of (3.20) similarly. Thus let $L^q(Q,\mathcal{H}_m(Q))$ be the Banach space of functions $f: Q\rightarrow\mathcal{H}_m(Q)$ with norm

$$\|f\|_q^q \ = \ \sum_{x\in Q}\|f(x)\|^q \ . \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.19) it follows that

$$\|f_{2,j}\|_q \ \le\ C\|D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\cdot)\|_\infty \|h_{Q,j}\|_q|v| \ , \tag{3.23}$$

$$\|f_{r,j}\|_q \ \le\ C\|D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\cdot)\|_\infty \|h_{Q,j}\|_q(1-\lambda/\Lambda)^{r-2}|v| + (1-\lambda/\Lambda)\|\nabla u_{r-1,j}\|_q \quad \text{if } r>2,$$

where C depends only on d. We see from the Hilbert space version of the Calderon-Zygmund theorem (see [11] page 45) applied to (3.18) that for $q>1$ there is a constant $\delta(q)\ge 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla u_{r,j}\|_q \ \le\ [1+\delta(q)]\|f_{r,j}\|_q \quad \text{and} \ \lim_{q\to 2}\delta(q)=0. \tag{3.24}$$

It follows then from (3.23), (3.24) that

$$\|f_{r,j}\|_q \ \le\ Cr\|D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\cdot)\|_\infty \|h_{Q,j}\|_q[1+\delta(q)]^{r-2}(1-\lambda/\Lambda)^{r-2}|v| \ , \tag{3.25}$$

where C depends only on d. Now Young's inequality and (3.24), (3.25) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z\in Q}\Big\| \sum_{x\in Q} g(x)e^{-iz\cdot\xi}\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\phi(x-z))\nabla u_{r,j}(x-z,\phi(\cdot))\Big\|^2 \\ \le\ C\left[r\|D\tilde{\mathbf{b}}(\cdot)\|_\infty\|g\|_p\|h_{Q,j}\|_q[1+\delta(q)]^{r-1}(1-\lambda/\Lambda)^{r-1}|v|\right]^2 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where $p=2q/(3q-2)$ with $1\le q\le 2$ and C depends only on d. We can argue now as in the $r=1$ case to establish (3.1) for $r\ge 2$ by choosing $q<2$ to satisfy $[1+\delta(q)](1-\lambda/\Lambda)\le(1-\lambda/\Lambda)^{1/2}$. □

References

[1] H. Brascamp and E. Lieb, *On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation*, J. Functional Analysis **22** (1976), 366-389, MR 56#8774.

[2] L. Caffarelli and P. Souganidis, *Rates of convergence for the homogenization of fully nonlinear uniformly elliptic pde in random media,* Invent. Math. **180** (2010), 301-360, MR 2609244.

[3] J. Conlon and T. Spencer, *Strong Convergence to the homogenized limit of elliptic equations with random coefficients,* Transactions of AMS, to appear.

[4] T. Funaki and H. Spohn, *Motion by mean curvature from the Ginzburg-Landau* $\nabla\phi$ *interface model*, Comm. Math. Phys. **185** (1997), 1-36, MR 98f:60206.

[5] A. Gloria and F. Otto, *An optimal variance estimate in stochastic homogenization of discrete elliptic equations*, Ann. Probab. **39** (2011), 779-856, MR 2789576.

[6] A. Gloria and F. Otto, *An optimal error estimate in stochastic homogenization of discrete elliptic equations*, 2010 preprint.

[7] S. Kozlov, *Averaging of random structures*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **241** (1978), 1016-1019, MR 80e:60078.

[8] N. Meyers *An* L^p *estimate for the gradient of solutions of second order elliptic divergence equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Pisa Cl. Sci. **17** (1963), 189-206.

[9] A. Naddaf and T. Spencer, *Estimates on the variance of some homogenization problems*, 1998 preprint.

[10] G. Papanicolaou and S. Varadhan, *Boundary value problems with rapidly oscillating random coefficients*, Volume 2 of *Coll. Math. Soc. Janos Bolya*, **27**, Random fields, Amsterdam, North Holland Publ. Co. 1981, pp. 835-873, MR 84k:58233.

[11] E. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1970.

[12] V. Yurinskii, *Averaging of symmetric diffusion in random medium*, Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal **27** (1986), 167-180.

[13] V. Zhikov, S. Kozlov and O. Oleinik, *Homogenization of Differential Operators and Integral Functionals*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1994, MR 96h:35003b.

(Joseph G. Conlon): University of Michigan, Department of Mathematics, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1109

E-mail address: `conlon@umich.edu`

(Arash Fahim): University of Michigan, Department of Mathematics, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1109

E-mail address: `fahimara@umich.edu`